

Brown (FL)	Higgins	Perlmutter
Butterfield	Himes	Peters
Capps	Hinchee	Pingree (ME)
Capuano	Hinojosa	Polis
Carnahan	Hirono	Price (NC)
Carney	Holt	Quigley
Carson (IN)	Honda	Rahall
Castor (FL)	Hoyer	Rangel
Chu	Israel	Richardson
Cicilline	Johnson (GA)	Richmond
Clarke (MI)	Johnson, E. B.	Rothman (NJ)
Clarke (NY)	Kaptur	Roybal-Allard
Clay	Keating	Ruppersberger
Cleaver	Kildee	Ryan (OH)
Clyburn	Kind	Sánchez, Linda
Cohen	Kucinich	T.
Connolly (VA)	Langevin	Sanchez, Loretta
Conyers	Larsen (WA)	Sarbanes
Cooper	Larson (CT)	Schakowsky
Courtney	Lee (CA)	Schiff
Crowley	Levin	Schrader
Cummings	Lewis (GA)	Schwartz
Davis (CA)	Lipinski	Scott (VA)
Davis (IL)	Loebuck	Scott, David
DeFazio	Lofgren, Zoe	Sherman
DeGette	Lujan	Sires
DeLauro	Lynch	Slaughter
Deutch	Maloney	Smith (WA)
Dingell	Markey	Speier
Doggett	Matsui	Stark
Doyle	McCarthy (NY)	Sutton
Edwards	McCollum	Thompson (CA)
Ellison	McDermott	Thompson (MS)
Engel	McGovern	Tierney
Eshoo	McNerney	Tonko
Farr	Meeks	Towns
Fattah	Michaud	Tsongas
Filner	Miller (NC)	Van Hollen
Frank (MA)	Miller, George	Velázquez
Fudge	Moore	Visclosky
Garamendi	Moran	Walz (MN)
Gonzalez	Murphy (CT)	Wasserman
Green, Al	Nadler	Schultz
Green, Gene	Napolitano	Waters
Grijalva	Neal	Watt
Gutierrez	Olver	Waxman
Hahn	Pallone	Welch
Hanabusa	Pascrell	Wilson (FL)
Hastings (FL)	Pastor (AZ)	Woolsey
Heinrich	Pelosi	Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—15

Ackerman	Gallegly	Lummis
Akin	Jackson (IL)	Reyes
Bishop (UT)	Jackson Lee	Rush
Cardoza	(TX)	Serrano
Coble	Jenkins	
Dicks	Lowe	

□ 1250

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. AKIN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 468, had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER
AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 835

Mr. CRAWFORD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor from H.R. 835.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Arkansas?

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed without amendment bills of the House of the following titles:

H.R. 3001. An act to award a Congressional Gold Medal to Raoul Wallenberg, in recognition of his achievements and heroic actions during the Holocaust.

H.R. 4155. An act to direct the head of each Federal department and agency to treat relevant military training as sufficient to satisfy training or certification requirements for Federal licenses.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia, the majority leader, for the purposes of inquiring about the schedule for the week to come.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman from Maryland, the Democratic whip, for yielding.

Madam Speaker, on Monday, the House is not in session. On Tuesday, the House will meet at noon for morning-hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning-hour and noon for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. Last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m.

Madam Speaker, the House will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a complete list of which will be announced by the close of business tomorrow.

In addition, the House will consider H.R. 5872, the Sequestration Transparency Act, sponsored by Congressman JEB HENSARLING. This is a bill that will bring needed transparency to the administration's process for implementing devastating cuts to our national defense and many social programs on January 2. Chairman PAUL RYAN and the Budget Committee passed this bill in a bipartisan fashion, so I expect it to be brought up under suspension of the rules.

Finally, and in keeping with funding our national security, the House will consider H.R. 5856, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, sponsored by Congressman BILL YOUNG. This will be the House's seventh appropriations bill of the year.

I expect the defense funding bill to be on the floor for the balance of the week. Members should be aware that late evening votes are possible on Wednesday, July 18, and Thursday, July 19.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for that scheduling information.

As the gentleman knows, we have, as I calculate, 12 legislative days left to go in July and the beginning of August, of which 3 of those days we will be coming in at 6:30. As a result, we don't have much time left, and I would ask the gentleman if there is any expectation of having bills other than the regulatory—I understand one of those weeks will be the regulatory week. Other than the regulatory bills, will we have any jobs legislation on the floor?

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman for the question.

Madam Speaker, we've been, as the gentleman knows, very transparent about scheduling the floor, sending out a memo making Members aware of where we're headed for the remainder of the July period. I would say to the gentleman that, after next week, we will be focusing on cutting red tape, reducing the regulatory burden on our job creators. As we know, the regulatory atmosphere in this country is making it more difficult and more expensive for small businesses and large to create jobs. We'll be focusing on that.

The following week, Madam Speaker, will be the week in which we will bring forward a piece of legislation to stop the tax hikes to ensure that all Americans know we are not going to see taxes go up for them at the end of this year.

In addition to that, we'll bring forward a bill that will be focused on how we get to a pro-growth tax system in this country, laying out the principles for tax reform and suggesting an expedited procedure so that we can actually achieve results for the American people so that our job creators and working families can get back to work.

Mr. HOYER. I understand the gentleman's answer, and I think we have consensus on this floor about cutting red tape and facilitating decisions by the Federal Government or by the State government or by local government. We have all heard that complaint throughout our careers. I think that's a legitimate concern for us to have. However, when I ask about a jobs bill, the gentleman responds on a couple of levels.

I think I may have mentioned this before, but what concerns me is that Bruce Bartlett, whom I think the gentleman probably knows, a former President Reagan and President H. W. Bush administration official, says that no hard evidence is offered for the claim that regulatory issues have increased. But he says that Republicans have embraced "the idea that government regulation is the principal factor holding back employment. They assert that Barack Obama has unleashed a tidal wave of new regulations, which has created uncertainty among businesses and prevents them from investing and hiring."

□ 1300

As I said, he says no hard evidence is offered for this claim. He then says:

In my opinion, regulatory uncertainty is a canard invented by Republicans that allows them to use current economic problems to pursue an agenda supported by the business community year in and year out. In other words, it's a simple case of political opportunism, not a serious effort to deal with high unemployment.

Now, that's his opinion, I understand that. But my concern is, if you ask an economist whether or not many of the pieces of legislation we've passed that we've called jobs bills—the gentleman's pointed that out—economists say in the short term—which is really what